## 1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 6 7 THOMAS VER, No. C 12-01365 RS 8 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION TO 9 DISMISS ALFREDO HURTADO, UNITED STATES 10 OF AMERICA, DOES 1-10, 11 Defendants. 12 13 14 The initially assigned Magistrate Judge recommended on August 28, 2012 that this matter be 15 dismissed due to failure to prosecute and for failure to comply with the Court's deadline and orders. 16 On September 10, 2012 Plaintiff's counsel submitted a declaration explaining that plaintiff had 17 missed the hearing dates and order to show cause because the court dates had not been properly 18 "administered for service," and plaintiff's counsel was not familiar with Federal Court procedures. 19 While counsel are required to be familiar with both the federal and local rules of this Court, as no 20 prejudice has been shown from the delay, the action may proceed. Accordingly, defendant 21 Hurtado's subsequently filed motion to dismiss based entirely on the failure identified in the 22 Magistrate Judge's order is denied. The previously scheduled case management conference remains 23 on calendar. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25

Dated: 10/4/12

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

No. C 12-01365RS